

{In Archive} Re: A Question Re Transcripts from CCH on Goliad Project

David Murry to: Jose Torres 09/17/2010 01:57 PM

Cc: Philip Dellinger, Ray Leissner, "Don Redmond", "Shana Horton"

From: "David Murry" < DMURRY@tceq.state.tx.us>

To: Jose Torres/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Philip Dellinger/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Leissner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, "Don Redmond"

<DREDMOND@tceq.state.tx.us>, "Shana Horton" <SHorton@tceq.state.tx.us>

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Jose,

UEC Exhibit No. 003 is an exhibit that was offered during the contested case hearing. If you look at the transcript for the cross examination of Dr. Galloway, the attorney questioning Dr. Galloway asked him some questions about his rebuttal testimony, and part of the transcript of this rebuttal testimony is included in UEC Exhibit No. 003. This exhibit is not a complete transcript of Dr. Galloways rebuttal testimony; it just contains those parts of his rebuttal testimony to which the attorney was referring in his questions to Dr. Galloway during the contested case hearing.

If you read the contested case hearing transcript for Dr. Galloway's cross examination (it is volume 1 of the hearing; he was the first person to be questioned), this exhibit is first mentioned on page 13, line 6 of Dr. Galloway's testimony.

David

>>> <Torres.Jose@epamail.epa.gov> $9/17/2010\ 12:15\ PM$ >>> Hello Mr. Dave:

In reviewing the copy of the transcripts from the captioned, I came across the document labeled UEC Exhibit No. 003, with the heading "Rebuttal Testimony Exhibits of Dr. William Galloway On Behalf of Uranium Energy Corp - April 9, 2010". I am having difficulty following the contents of this Exhibit because it is full of gaps, and wonder if you could help me understand why this is, and whether there may be an alternative for getting a grasp on the information this Exhibit is supposed to convey.

Let me explain a bit further: The Exhibit in question presents what looks like the cross-examination of a witness. It starts with page 13 and as far as I can tell, after going through most of it, the discussions focus on the geology of the area of the proposed permit. However, due to the fact that the document skips so many pages, I have not been able to really learn much about what was discussed in this instance on the area's geology.

I would appreciate it if you could tell me if a complete copy of this Exhibit No. 003 is available, or provide some helpful comments on how to get a hold of the, apparently, missing information. Thanks a lot for your consideration in this matter. Have a nice weekend.

José Eduardo Torres - 6WQ-SG Ground Water/UIC Section EPA, Region 6